

TNA User Report

The completed and signed form below should be returned by email to <u>eurochamp2020@lisa.u-pec.fr</u>

Project title	P-2020-1
Name of the	WCCAP (TROPOS, Leipzig, Germany)
accessed	
calibration center	
Number of users	1
in the project	
Project objectives (max 100 words)	The calibration workshop accessed through EUROCHAMP 2020 project was aimed to intercomparison of instruments measuring optical properties of atmospheric aerosol – namely absorption photometers and integrating nephelometers. The use of the calibration centers is important for raising the general level of instrumental knowledge and enhance the reliability and traceability of the instruments operations within the ACTRIS ERIC. In the near future, the participation to these sessions will become mandatory at a latter stage as described in the ACTRIS definition documents for observational platforms - National Facilities and will ensure the traceability of the QA/QC of the ACTRIS data.
Description of work (max 100 words):	During the workshop the absorption photometers were intercompared as they came. Afterwards a maintenance was performed on all the instruments (flow check, optics cleaning, stability check, zero check). The last comparison was performed on generated black carbon from miniCAST aerosol generator and on ambient air. The results were compared to reference absorption photometers (Aethalometer, MAAP and CAPS).

Principal Investigator's and group's information					
First name	Miroslav				
Family name	Bitter				
Nationality	Czech				
Activity domain ¹	Earth Sciences & Environment				
Home institution	Czech Hydrometerological Institute				
Institution legal status ²	RES				
Email	miroslav.bitter@chmi.cz				
Gender	male				
User status ³	EXP				
New user					

User 1 Information ⁴					
First name	Miroslav				
Family name	Bitter				
Nationality	Czech				
Activity domain	Earth Sciences & Environment				
Home institution	Czech Hydrometerological Institute				
Institution legal status	RES				
Email	miroslav.bitter@chmi.cz				
Gender	male				
User status	EXP				
New user					

	User 2 Information				
First name					
Family name					
Nationality					
Activity domain					
Home institution					
Institution legal status					
Email					
Gender					
User status					
New user					

¹ Physics; Chemistry, Earth Sciences & Environment; Engineering & Technology; Mathematics; Information & Communication Technologies; Material Sciences; Energy; Social sciences; Humanities.

RES= Public Research Organisation (including international research organisations and private research organisations controlled by public authority);

SME= Small and Medium Enterprise;

PRV= Other Industrial and/or Profit Private Organisation;

OTH= Other type of organization.

² UNI= University and Other Higher Education Organisation;

³ UND= Undergraduate; PGR= Post graduate; PDOC= Post-doctoral researcher; RES= Researcher EXP= Engineer; ACA= Academic; TEC= Technician.

⁴ Reproduce the table for each user who accessed the infrastructure

Trans-National Access (TNA) Scientific Report

The completed and signed form below should be returned by email to eurochamp2020@lisa.u-pec.fr

Instructions

Please limit the report to max 5 pages, you can include tables and figures. Please make sure to address any comments made by the reviewers at the moment of the project evaluation (if applicable, in this case you were informed beforehand). Please do not alter the layout of the document and keep it in Word version. The report will be made available on the eurochamp.org website. Should any information be confidential or not be made public, please inform us accordingly (in this case it will only be accessible by the European Commission, the EUROCHAMP-2020 project partners, and the reviewers). Please include:

- Introduction and motivation
- Scientific objectives
- Reason for choosing the calibration facility
- Method and experimental set-up
- Data description
- Preliminary results and conclusions
- · Outcome and future studies
- References

Name of the PI: Miroslav Bitter

Calibration center's name and location: WCCAP (TROPOS, Leipzig, Germany)

Campaign name and period: P-2020-1, 27.-30.1.2020

Text:

The use of the calibration centers is important for raising the general level of instrumental knowledge and enhance the reliability and traceability of the instruments operations within the ACTRIS ERIC. In the near future, the participation to these sessions will become mandatory at a latter stage as described in the ACTRIS definition documents for observational platforms - National Facilities and will ensure the traceability of the QA/QC of the ACTRIS data. The instrument brought to the calibration workshop (absorption photometers — Aethalometers AE33, Aerosol, d.o.o.) is or will be used as a standard in the measuring network of UFP and BC and measuring on the planned ACTRIS National Facilities in Czech Republic.

The main aim of the participation during the calibration workshop was to comply with official requirements of ACTRIS project towards QA/QC of the measured quantities. The measurement of the light absorption by aerosol particles is one of the compulsory quantities to become an official ACTRIS National Facility. Also the regular intercomparison/calibration at dedicated Central Facilities of ACTRIS is a compulsory requirement in order to keep the high level of data quality. The second aim of the participation at the calibration workshop was assessment of the instrument performance.

The TROPOS Institute hosting the WCCAP is leading Institute in aerosol science and technology and at the moment also official leader of ACTRIS Aerosol In-Situ Central Facility (CAIS), so it was the only choice in order to comply with requirements of ACTRIS project.

The instruments were first intercompared on artificial black carbon aerosol produced by miniCAST aerosol generator (with proper representative subsampling to all instruments being intercompared, proper dilution and flow controls) and then on ambient aerosol. The instruments were compared against reference aethalometer, MAAP and CAPS. This intercomparison served for checking the instrument performance "as they come". After the first intercomparison the instruments were thoroughly checked, the flows were calibrated, sensor head cleaned, checked for leaks and the measurement stability was checked. After performing the maintenance, the instruments were again setup for measurement and the second round of intercomparison measurements was performed. After each intercomparison the obtained data were compared with reference instruments and the performance of individual instruments was evaluated.

The absorption photometer (AE 33, SN: S07-00783) was performing as follows (based on the calibration report):

Flow:

The flow rate was the same as the reference flow meter (TSI 4100). The noise level of the instrument was in the normal range.

System flow and reference		Measured	F_{flow}	F _{STP}	ζ	
Q _{AE33}	T _{0,AE33}	р _{о,АЕЗЗ}	flow Q			
[slpm]	[°C]	[hPa]	[slpm]			
4.976	21.11	1013.25	4.946	1.000	1.077	0.019

Table 1: Correction factors F_{flow} and F_{STP} for correcting eBC concentrations. F_{flow} corrects for inlet flow errors considering leakage. F_{STP} is used to adjust concentrations to STP conditions (0 °C, 1013.25 hPa). ζ is the leakage considering the difference is due to tangential leakage through the edges of the filter tape (see manual).

Noise:

The average noise (1 σ) for the all wavelengths was less equal 31 ng m⁻³ for one minute averaging time. The background level was acceptable with deviations of less equal 7 ng m⁻³ for all wavelengths.

Wavelength	Number	Median	10th	90th	Mean	Std. dev.	Error of
[nm]	of data	[ng m ⁻³]	percentile	percentile	[ng m ⁻³]	[ng m ⁻³]	mean
	points		[ng m ⁻³]	[ng m ⁻³]			[ng m ⁻³]
370	116	0	-24	24	1	18	2
470	116	0	-18	30	2	19	2
520	116	1	-27	24	-1	21	2
590	116	1	-38	41	2	31	3
660	116	7	-28	35	5	26	2
880	116	6	-30	44	6	28	3
950	116	5	-32	44	5	31	3

Table 2: Noise parameters measured with filtered air.

Comparison to reference MAAP:

BC concentrations at 880 nm (BC6) of AE33 are 24.5% higher than BC concentrations from a reference MAAP.

Wavelenth	Slope	Error	R^2
[nm]			
880	1.314	0.006	0.998

Table 3: Correlation parameter of eBC coefficient (BC6) from AE33 (S07-00813) and reference MAAP after inspection.

Comparison to reference AE33:

The deviations of BC concentrations relative to the reference AE33 are in the range of -4.0 to 1.7 %.

Wavelenth	Slope	Error	R^2
[nm]			
370	1.06	0.004	0.999
470	1.061	0.003	0.999
520	1.062	0.003	0.999
590	1.063	0.003	0.999
660	1.022	0.003	0.999
880	1.077	0.003	0.999
950	0.996	0.047	0.816

Table 4: Correlation parameter of eBC coefficients from AE33 (S07-00813) and reference AE33 after inspection.

Comparison to reference absorption:

The deviations of the absorption coefficients derived from AE33 relative to the absorption coefficients from the multi-wavelength absorption reference setup are in the range of -3.8 to 7.1 %.

Wavelenth	Wavelenth Slope		R^2
[nm]			
470	1.129	0.006	0.999
520	1.119	0.006	0.999
660	1.026	0.006	0.999

Table 5: Correlation parameter of absorption from AE33 (S07-00783) ($C_0 = 2.7$) and the multi-wavelength absorption reference after inspection.

The absorption photometer (AE 33, SN: S08-00997) dedicated to Suchdol station was performing as follows (based on the calibration report):

Flow:

The flow was 1.3% too low compared to reference flow meter (TSI 4100). The noise level of the instrument was in the normal range.

System flow and reference		Measured	F_{flow}	F_{STP}	ζ	
Q_{AE33}	T _{0,AE33}	р _{о,АЕЗЗ}	flow Q			
[slpm]	[°C]	[hPa]	[slpm]			
4.98	21.11	1013.25	4.918	1.013	1.077	0.018

Table 6: Correction factors F_{flow} and F_{STP} for correcting eBC concentrations. F_{flow} corrects for inlet flow errors considering leakage. F_{STP} is used to adjust concentrations to STP conditions (0 °C, 1013.25 hPa).

 ζ is the leakage considering the difference is due to tangential leakage through the edges of the filter tape (see manual).

Noise:

The average noise (1 σ) for the all wavelengths was less equal 30 ng m⁻³ for one minute averaging time. The background level was acceptable with deviations of less equal 26 ng m⁻³ for all wavelengths.

Wavelength	Number	Median	10th	90th	Mean	Std. dev.	Error of
[nm]	of data	[ng m ⁻³]	percentile	percentile	[ng m ⁻³]	[ng m ⁻³]	mean
	points		[ng m ⁻³]	[ng m ⁻³]			[ng m ⁻³]
370	111	1	-24	21	0	18	2
470	111	2	-23	21	1	18	2
520	111	1	-31	26	-1	23	2
590	111	-1	-28	32	0	24	2
660	111	5	-20	33	5	24	2
880	111	22	-14	58	23	30	3
950	111	26	-7	65	26	30	3

Table 7: Noise parameters measured with filtered air.

Comparison to reference MAAP:

BC concentrations at 880 nm (BC6) of AE33 are 34.6% higher than BC concentrations from a reference MAAP.

Wavelenth	Slope	Error	R^2
[nm]			
880	1.346	0.007	0.997

Table 8: Correlation parameter of eBC coefficient (BC6) from AE33 (S07-00813) and reference MAAP after inspection.

Comparison to reference AE33:

The deviations of BC concentrations relative to the reference AE33 are in the range of 3.0 to 10.5 %.

Wavelenth	Slope	Error	R^2
[nm]			
370	1.066	0.003	0.999
470	1.087	0.003	0.999
520	1.08	0.003	0.999
590	1.077	0.003	0.999
660	1.04	0.003	0.999
880	1.105	0.003	0.999
950	1.03	0.053	0.788

Table 9: Correlation parameter of eBC coefficients from AE33 (S07-00813) and reference AE33 after inspection.

Comparison to reference absorption:

The deviations of the absorption coefficients derived from AE33 relative to the absorption coefficients from the multi-wavelength absorption reference setup are in the range of 3.7 to 14.8 %.



Integration of European Simulation Chambers for Investigating Atmospheric Processes. Towards 2020 and beyond

Wavelenth	Slope	Error	R ²
[nm]			
470	1.148	0.004	0.999
520	1.13	0.004	0.999
660	1.037	0.003	0.999

Table 10: Correlation parameter of absorption from AE33 (S07-00813) ($C_0 = 2.7$) and the multi-wavelength absorption reference after inspection.

Instrument were performing as expected and the performance was within the requirements.

The absorption photometers need regular maintenance and a regular calibration/intercomparison workshops in order to comply with QA/QC requirements of ACTRIS project (or in general for high performance within any project requiring long-term high quality data provision).

In Ústí nad Labem, 28.2.2020

Miroslav Bitter